

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 06/10/2003

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/929,313	08/14/2001	Hung-Teh Kao	35997-A3ZYA/CCD/ADM/PL	8781
75	90 06/10/2003			
John P. White Cooper & Dunham LLP 1185 Avenue of the Americas New York, NY 10036			EXAMINER	
			BRANNOCK, MICHAEL T	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	•		1646	A

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary

Application No. 09/929,313

Applicant(s)

Hung-Teh Kao et al.

Examiner

Michael Brannock

1646



	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address
	OF REPLY
	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE1 MONTH(S) FROM MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.
- Extens	ons of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136 (a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the
- If the p	date of this communication. eriod for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
	eriod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
	by received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).
Status	,
1) 💢	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>Jun 7, 2002</u> .
2a) 🗌	This action is FINAL . 2b) 💢 This action is non-final.
3) 🗀	Since this application is in condition for allowance except-for-formal-matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.
Diennei	tion of Claims
	Claim(s) 1-51 is/are pending in the application.
	a) Of the above, claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.
	Claim(s) is/are allowed.
6)□	Claim(s) is/are rejected.
7) 🗆	Claim(s) is/are objected to.
· · ·	
8) [X]	Claims 1-51 are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.
	tion Papers
9) ⊔	The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
10)∐	The drawing(s) filed on is/are a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.
_	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
11)	The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) _ approved b) _ disapproved by the Examiner.
	If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.
12)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.
	under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120
	Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
a) Ĺ	All b)□ Some* c)□ None of:
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
,	2. \square Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
*S	ee the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.
14)	Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e).
a) [The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.
15)∟	Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.
Attachm	
_	tice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)
	tice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) Domation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)6) Other:
"" ت	Of Cartest

Application/Control Number: 09929313

- Art Unit: 1646

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restriction

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
 - I. Claims 1-4, 7-39, 45, drawn to polynucleotides, vectors, and host cells, classified in class 536, subclass 23.5.
 - II. Claims 5 and 6, drawn to polypeptides, classified in class 530, subclass 350.
 - III. Claims 40-43, 49-51, drawn to methods of screening for ligands, classified in class 435, subclass 7.21.
 - IV. Claim 44, drawn to methods of identifying RNA, classified in class 435, subclass 6.
 - V. Claims 46 and 47, drawn to antibodies, classified in class 530, subclass 388.22.
 - VI. Claim 48, drawn to methods of detecting a protein, classified in class 436, subclass 501.
- 2. The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Although there are no provisions under the section for "Relationship of Inventions" in M.P.E.P. § 806.05 for inventive groups that are directed to <u>different</u> products, restriction is deemed to be proper because these products appear to constitute patentably distinct inventions for the following reasons: Groups I, II, and V are directed to products that are distinct both physically and functionally, and are not required one for the other, and are therefore patentably

Application/Control Number: 09929313 Page 3

. Art Unit: 1646

distinct. Further, the protein of Group II can be prepared by processes which are materially different from recombinant DNA expression of Group I, such as by chemical synthesis, or by isolation and purification from natural sources. Additionally, the DNA of Group I can be used other than to make the protein of Group II, such in gene therapy or as a probe in nucleic acid hybridization assays. The protein of Group II can be used in materially different methods other than to make the antibody of Group V, such as in therapeutic or diagnostic methods (e.g., in screening). Finally, although the antibody of Group V can be used to obtain the DNA of Group I, it can also be used in materially different methods, such as in various diagnostic (e.g., in as a probe in immunoassays or immunochromatography), or therapeutic methods.

Although there are no provisions under the section for "Relationship of Inventions" in M.P.E.P. § 806.05 for inventive groups that are directed to different methods, restriction is deemed to be proper because these methods appear to constitute patentably distinct inventions for the following reasons: Groups III, IV and VI are directed to methods that are distinct both physically and functionally, and are not required one for the other. Group III requires ligand binding assays, which are not required by any of the other groups. Group IV requires an assay of nucleic acid hybridization, which is not required by any of the other groups. Group VI requires an assay of antibody binding, which is not required by any of the other groups.

The polynucleotides of Group I are related to the methods of Groups III and IV as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another

- Art Unit: 1646

Application/Control Number: 09929313

materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product (MPEP § 806.05(h)). In the instant case the polynucleotides of Group I are patentably distinct from each of the methods of Groups III and IV because the polynucleotides of Group I can be used in ways that are materially and functionally different than each of the methods because, as discussed above, each of the methods of are materially and functionally distinct from the others. Furthermore, the polynucleotides of Group I and the methods of Groups VI are patentably distinct because one is not required for the use of the other.

The polypeptides of Group II are related to the methods of Groups III and VI as product and process of use. In the instant case the polypeptides of Group II are patentably distinct from each of the methods of Groups III and VI because the polypeptides can be used in ways that are materially and functionally different than each of the methods because, as discussed above, each of the method groups are materially and functionally distinct from the others. Furthermore, the polypeptides of Group II and the method of Group IV are patentably distinct because one is not required for the use of the other.

The antibodies of Group V are related to the methods of Groups III and VI as product and process of use. In the instant case the antibodies are patentably distinct from each of the methods of Groups III and VI because the antibodies be used in ways that are materially and functionally different than each of the method groups because, as discussed above, each of the methods of groups are materially and functionally distinct from the others. Furthermore, the

Application/Control Number: 09929313 Page 5

. Art Unit: 1646

antibodies of Group V and the method of Groups IV are patentably distinct because one is not required for the use of the other.

Therefore, because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and because a search and examination of all the groups in one patent application would result in an undue burden, since the searches for the groups are not co-extensive, the classification is different, and the subject matter is divergent, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

- 3. A telephone call was made to John White on 6/2/03 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.
- 4. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).
- 5. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).
- 6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Michael Brannock, Ph.D., whose telephone number is (703) 306-5876. The examiner can normally be reached on Mondays through Thursdays from 8:00 a.m. to 5:30 p.m. The examiner can also normally be reached on alternate Fridays.

. Art Unit: 1646

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Yvonne Eyler, Ph.D., can be reached at (703) 308-6564.

Official papers filed by fax should be directed to (703) 308-4242. Faxed draft or informal communications with the examiner should be directed to (703) 308-0294.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceedingshould be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0196.

GARY KUNZ SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1600

MB

June 4, 2003